

Tilted Dirac Cone Effect on Interlayer Magnetoresistance in α -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃

Takao Morinari

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Japan

Email: morinari@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Usually electrons in a conductor are well described by a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation. Because the Fermi velocity is much less than the velocity of the light. However, if the electronic band structure has a linear dispersion then the dynamics of the electrons are described by a relativistic Dirac equation with the velocity of the light replaced by the Fermi velocity. Graphene is a well-known example as reviewed in Ref.[1].

The Dirac fermion energy spectrum is also realized in the layered organic compound α -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃. Under pressure above 2GPa, the electron correlation that leads to charge ordering under ambient pressure is suppressed and the system shows behaviors expected in a Dirac fermion system: The carrier density shows T^2 behavior[2] and the negative interlayer magnetoresistance is observed[3] which is consistent with the zero energy Landau level of the Dirac fermions[4].

Theoretically the Dirac fermion spectrum in α -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ is not a simple Dirac cone but a tilted Dirac cone as suggested in Ref.[5]. The tilt of the Dirac cone leads to an azimuthal angle dependence of the interlayer magnetoresistance[6]. We discuss applications of the formula in Ref.[6] to experiments. In particular we argue that discrepancies between the experiments[3] and theoretical formula[4] are associated with the tilt effect. In the presence of the tilt, non-zero interlayer hopping matrix elements appear between different Landau levels. We discuss a unified picture connecting the negative magnetoresistance regime with the positive magnetoresistance regime.

*This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, the Global COE Program "The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence."

[1] A. H. Castro Neto *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009) 109.

[2] N. Tajima *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69 (2000) 543.

[3] N. Tajima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 176403.

[4] T. Osada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77 (2008) 084711.

[5] A. Kobayashi *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76 (2007) 034711.

[6] T. Morinari *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78 (2009) 023704.